

# Statistical Physics & Condensed Matter Theory I: Exercise

## Mean-field theory for the Anderson impurity model: solution

a)

We can write  $n_{d\sigma} - \langle n_{d\sigma} \rangle = \delta n_{d\sigma}$  and expect this to be very small (*i.e.* under the mean-field approximation, we expect that fluctuations are very small as compared to the average value,  $\delta n_{d\sigma} \ll \langle n_{d\sigma} \rangle$ ). Then,

$$(n_{d\uparrow} - \langle n_{d\uparrow} \rangle)(n_{d\downarrow} - \langle n_{d\downarrow} \rangle) = \delta n_{d\uparrow} \delta n_{d\downarrow} \sim 0 \Rightarrow n_{d\uparrow} n_{d\downarrow} \sim \langle n_{d\uparrow} \rangle \langle n_{d\downarrow} \rangle + \langle n_{d\downarrow} \rangle \delta n_{d\uparrow} - \langle n_{d\uparrow} \rangle \delta n_{d\downarrow}.$$

b)

The functional integral can be written as usual by evaluating the creation/annihilation operators onto the coherent states, and moving to the Matsubara representation:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \int \mathcal{D}(\bar{\phi}, \phi) e^{-S[\bar{\psi}, \psi, \bar{\phi}, \phi]}$$

with  $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) = \prod_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} \beta d\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} d\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\phi}, \phi) = \prod_{\sigma n} \beta d\bar{\phi}_{\sigma n} d\phi_{\sigma n}$  and

$$S = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_n \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} [-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu] \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} + \sum_{\sigma} \sum_n \bar{\phi}_{\sigma n} [-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_d - \mu_{d,\sigma} + U \langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle] \phi_{\sigma n} \\ + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_n (t_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{\phi}_{\sigma n} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} + t_{\mathbf{k}}^* \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} \phi_{\sigma n})$$

c)

To absorb the coupling term, we can define shifted fermionic fields according to

$$\psi'_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} = \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} + \frac{t_{\mathbf{k}}^*}{-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu} \phi_{\sigma n}, \quad \bar{\psi}'_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} = \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} + \frac{t_{\mathbf{k}}}{-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu} \bar{\phi}_{\sigma n}.$$

We can then write

$$\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} [-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu] \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} + t_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{\phi}_{\sigma n} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} + t_{\mathbf{k}}^* \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} \phi_{\sigma n} \\ = \bar{\psi}'_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} [-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu] \psi'_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} - \frac{|t_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu} \bar{\phi}_{\sigma n} \phi_{\sigma n}$$

which then gives the action in the question after identification of the self-energy function.

d)

For the partition function, we simply need to use the identity (for a general  $\varepsilon$ )

$$\int d(\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n}, \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n}) e^{-\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} \varepsilon \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n}} = \beta \int d\bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} d\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} (1 - \bar{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} \varepsilon \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n}) = \beta \varepsilon.$$

Since all the  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n}$  and  $\phi_{\sigma n}$  are decoupled, the whole partition function is simply the product of all single-mode integrals, namely

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{\mathbf{k}\sigma n} \beta[-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu] \times \prod_{\sigma n} \beta[-i\omega_n + \varepsilon_d - \mu_{d,\sigma} + U\langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle + \Sigma(i\omega_n, \mu)].$$

Taking the logarithm gives the free energy  $\mathcal{F} = -T \ln \mathcal{Z}$  as in the question.

e)

We have

$$\partial_{\mu_{d,\sigma}} \mathcal{Z} = \partial_{\mu_{d,\sigma}} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H} = -\beta \text{Tr} (e^{-\beta H} \partial_{\mu_{d,\sigma}} H) = +\beta \text{Tr} (e^{-\beta H} d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma) = \beta \mathcal{Z} \langle n_{d,\sigma} \rangle$$

where we have used  $\langle \dots \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \text{Tr} (e^{-\beta H} \dots)$ . We thus get

$$\langle n_{d,\sigma} \rangle = \beta^{-1} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \partial_{\mu_{d,\sigma}} \mathcal{Z} = T \partial_{\mu_{d,\sigma}} \ln \mathcal{Z} = -\partial_{\mu_{d,\sigma}} \mathcal{F}.$$

On the other hand, from the previous result for the partition function, we immediately get

$$-\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mu_{d,\sigma}} = T \sum_n \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \varepsilon_d + \mu_{d,\sigma} - U\langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle - \Sigma(i\omega_n, \mu)}.$$

f)

Under the assumptions given, we have the simple elementary integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon \rho_{DOS}(\varepsilon) \frac{|t|^2}{i\omega_n - \varepsilon + \mu} &= \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \varepsilon + \mu} \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \ln[i\omega_n - \varepsilon + \mu]_{-D}^D = -\frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \ln \frac{i\omega_n + \mu - D}{i\omega_n + \mu + D}. \end{aligned}$$

g) \*

FOR YOUR INFORMATION: The Matsubara summation is performed by noticing the that self-energy has branch cuts along the lines  $i\omega_n \in ]-\infty, -\mu - D]$  as well as  $i\omega_n \in ]-\infty, -\mu + D]$ . Cancelling cuts for  $i\omega_n \in [-\infty, -\mu - D]$  mean that once we deform the original Matsubara contour, we remain with an isolated pole at some  $z_0 = \varepsilon_d - \mu_{d,\sigma} + U\langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle + O(\Gamma)$ , together with the integral just above and below the branch cut  $i\omega_n \in [-\mu - D, -\mu + D]$ . This gives the result in the question after noticing that along the branch cut,  $\Sigma(\omega + i\delta, \mu) \rightarrow \Sigma^r(\mu) + \frac{i}{2}\Gamma \text{sgn}(\delta)$  for  $\omega \in [-\mu - D, -\mu + D]$ , in which  $\Sigma^r(\mu)$  is a real-valued function.

At  $T \rightarrow 0$ , we can drop the isolated pole contribution (assuming  $z_0 > 0$ ), and perform the integral over  $\omega$  simply by writing

$$\frac{\Gamma}{(\omega - \varepsilon_d + \mu_{d,\sigma} - U\langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle - \Sigma^r)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2} = \frac{1}{i} \left( \frac{1}{\omega - \varepsilon_d + \mu_{d,\sigma} - U\langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle - \Sigma^r - i\Gamma/2} - \text{h.c.} \right)$$

so (writing  $\varepsilon_d - \mu_{d,\sigma} + U\langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle + \Sigma^r \equiv c$ )

$$\langle n_{d,\sigma} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{i} \frac{1}{\omega - c - i\Gamma/2} + \text{h.c.} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \frac{-c - i\Gamma/2}{-c + i\Gamma/2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( i\pi - \ln \frac{1 + ic/(\Gamma/2)}{1 - ic/(\Gamma/2)} \right)$$

which as required yields

$$\langle n_{d,\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \text{atan} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_d - \mu_{d,\sigma} + U\langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle + \Sigma^r}{\Gamma/2} \right).$$

**h) \***

Here, the self-consistency relations are rewritten as

$$\langle n_{d,\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{atan} [(\langle n_{d,-\sigma} \rangle - a)b].$$

This self-consistency could be solved on a computer; let us here more simply just try to show that nontrivial (magnetized) solutions exist. Let us suppose for simplicity that the magnetization is really small, that is

$$\langle n_{d,\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma\delta, \quad \delta \ll 1.$$

This requires that  $(\langle n_{d,\sigma} \rangle - a)b$  also be very small, which means that  $a \simeq 1/2$ . Let us thus set  $a = 1/2$ , so  $(\langle n_{d,\sigma} \rangle - a)b = \sigma\delta b$ . Expanding the  $\operatorname{atan}$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sigma\delta = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}(-\delta b + (\delta b)^3/3 + \dots).$$

Subtracting the case  $\sigma = -$  from the  $\sigma = +$  one gives

$$2\delta = \frac{2}{\pi}(\delta b - (\delta b)^3/3 + \dots) \rightarrow \delta(b - \pi) = \delta^3 b^3/3 \rightarrow \delta^2 = \frac{3}{b^3}(b - \pi).$$

This equation has a solution for real  $\delta > 0$  when  $b > \pi$ , that is when the interaction is strong enough. The magnetization then increases as a square root from the critical interaction strength  $b = \pi$ . If  $a$  deviates slightly from  $1/2$  linearly with  $\delta$ , then  $b$  just gets rescaled, so there are also solutions in that case. Therefore, mean-field theory predicts that the Anderson model's impurity spontaneously magnetizes in the presence of interactions, although these interactions are fully spin-symmetric.