

# Statistical Physics & Condensed Matter Theory I:

## Exercise

### Itinerant electrons with interactions: mean-field theory

We have seen that starting from Hubbard-like models (with on-site Coulomb interaction  $U > 0$ ), a Heisenberg model can be obtained by going to the strongly-interacting limit  $U \rightarrow \infty$  when the system is half-filled (one electron per site on average).

What happens if  $U$  is not that large, and we're working at generic fillings? Consider a  $d$ -dimensional crystal with electrons interacting with a purely on-site Coulomb interaction  $U > 0$ . In Fourier space, we can write the Hamiltonian as

$$H = H_0 + H_{int} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \frac{U}{2L^d} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}\sigma'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

in which  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the kinetic energy part.

The interaction term is impossible to handle exactly. We could simply do perturbation theory in  $U$ , but we don't always want to assume that  $U$  is small. The purpose of this exercise is to show you another way of handling this interaction.

The key is to find a reasonable way of rewriting the interaction term (containing 4 operators) into terms with 2 operators only (which can then be handled exactly). We thus make the assumption ('mean-field') that we can replace the operator product according to

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}\sigma'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} &\simeq a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}\sigma'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \rangle_{MF} + a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}\sigma'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \rangle_{MF} \\ &\quad - a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}\sigma'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \rangle_{MF} - a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}\sigma'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \rangle_{MF} \\ &\quad - \langle a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \rangle_{MF} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}\sigma'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \rangle_{MF} + \langle a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \rangle_{MF} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}\sigma'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \rangle_{MF} \end{aligned}$$

in which we take the 'mean-field' expectation values to be given by the (not yet determined) parameters  $n_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$  according to

$$\langle a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma} \rangle_{MF} \equiv \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \bar{n}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

(all other expectation values vanishing).

**a)**

Show that under this mean-field assumption, the interaction part of the Hamiltonian is replaced by

$$H_{int} \simeq H_{int}^{MF} = U \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} [\bar{n}_{\sigma'} - \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \bar{n}_{\sigma}] - \frac{UL^d}{2} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} (1 - \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}) \bar{n}_{\sigma} \bar{n}_{\sigma'}$$

in which

$$\bar{n}_{\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{L^d} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \rangle_{MF}$$

are again fixed (though unspecified as of yet) numbers.

**b)**

The complete mean-field Hamiltonian is thus

$$H^{MF} = H^0 + H_{int}^{MF} \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{MF} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + C(\{\bar{n}_{\sigma}\})$$

with

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{MF} \equiv \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U(\bar{n}_{\uparrow} + \bar{n}_{\downarrow} - \bar{n}_{\sigma}) = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + U\bar{n}_{-\sigma}, \quad C(\{\bar{n}_{\sigma}\}) \equiv -\frac{UL^d}{2} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} (1 - \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}) \bar{n}_{\sigma} \bar{n}_{\sigma'}$$

Since this Hamiltonian is now bilinear in the  $a^{\dagger}$ ,  $a$  operators, everything can be computed exactly. Write down the coherent state path integral representation for the partition function  $Z^{MF}$  of the mean-field theory, introducing separate chemical potentials  $\mu_{\sigma}$  for up and down spins, and show that the mean-field free energy can be written

$$\mathcal{F}^{MF} = -T \ln \mathcal{Z}^{MF} = -T \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_n \sum_{\sigma} \ln [\beta(-i\omega_n + \xi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{MF})] + C(\{\bar{n}_{\sigma}\})$$

in which  $\xi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{MF} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{MF} - \mu_{\sigma}$ .

**c)**

So far, we have assumed that the mean-field parameters  $\bar{n}_{\sigma}$  were fixed, but we didn't specify to which value. This is done by requiring *self-consistency* of the mean-field treatment. Using the relations  $\bar{n}_{\sigma} = -\frac{1}{L^d} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{\sigma}} \mathcal{F}^{MF}$ , show that (by performing the Matsubara summation using one of the 'Useful formulas') we need to require

$$\bar{n}_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{L^d} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} n_F(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{MF})$$

**d)**

Specialize now to the three-dimensional case at zero temperature, assume that  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m}$ , go to the infinite-size limit (so that  $\frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\dots) \rightarrow \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} (\dots)$ ), and take the two chemical potentials to be equal  $\mu_{\sigma} \equiv \mu$ . Show that

$$\bar{n}_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{6\pi^2} k_{F\sigma}^3$$

where the (spin-dependent) Fermi momenta are given by  $\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} k_{F\sigma}^2 + U\bar{n}_{-\sigma} = \mu$ .

**e)**

Defining the parameters

$$\bar{n} \equiv \bar{n}_{\uparrow} + \bar{n}_{\downarrow}, \quad \zeta = \frac{\bar{n}_{\uparrow} - \bar{n}_{\downarrow}}{\bar{n}_{\uparrow} + \bar{n}_{\downarrow}}, \quad \gamma = \frac{2mU\bar{n}^{1/3}}{(3\pi^2)^{2/3}\hbar^2}$$

(note that we must have  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ ), show that the self-consistency conditions can be rewritten (most easily by subtracting the two chemical potentials from each other)

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\zeta} \left[ (1 + \zeta)^{2/3} - (1 - \zeta)^{2/3} \right].$$

Discuss what happens as a function of the effective interaction parameter  $\gamma$  (hint: look at the left-hand side function of  $\zeta$ , and find the limits when  $\zeta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\zeta \rightarrow 1$ ). What kind of magnetic state exists for  $\gamma < 4/3$ ,  $4/3 < \gamma < 2^{2/3}$  and  $\gamma > 2^{2/3}$ ? Can you explain this physically?